

2018 McHenry County Continuum of Care to End Homelessness RENEWAL-REVISION Project Scoring Rubric

McHenry County Continuum of Care to End Homelessness

Name of Project Program Statistics	GY Request	
	Score	Comments
Financial Capacity Assessment (Total possible points - 24)		
1. Returned Funds FOR PROPOSED PROJECT 5: No returned funds in 3 years, or project is new or bonus 3: Returned funds one time in 3 years 0: Returned funds every year or twice in 3 years		
2. Financial Capacity Measure Point calculation: (financial capacity measure score) * 12 points		
3. Leveraged Resources (attachment) with at least a total of 25% match made except for Leasing dollars 2: 200% or greater 1.5: 199.99% - 175% 1: 174.99% - 150% 0.5: 149.99% - 100% 0: Less than 100%		
4. Housing Emphasis: % Eligible housing activities (PROPOSED) 3: Greater than or equal to 80% 1.5: 60% to 79.99% 0: Less than 60%		
5. Proposed Cost Analysis of CoC Grant Costs (CoC funds only) For PSH Projects 2: Costs per bed <u>below</u> CoC average 0: Costs <u>above</u> CoC average		
For TH or TH-RRH projects 2: Costs per bed <u>below</u> CoC average 0: Costs <u>above</u> CoC average		
For RRH projects 2: Annual cost per household is <u>below</u> McHenry County CoC average 0: Annual cost per household is <u>above</u> McHenry County CoC average		
Compliance/Past Performance Requirements (Total possible points - 54)		
1. APR Submission - Please send last APR 4: Last APR submitted by deadline or HUD granted late submission waiver 2: Last APR not submitted by deadline but explanation included 0: Last APR not submitted by deadline and no explanation included		

2018 McHenry County Continuum of Care to End Homelessness RENEWAL-REVISION Project Scoring Rubric

<p>2. Project Monitoring and Financial Audit</p> <p>5: Project monitored with no findings <u>or</u> project not monitored and evidence of a clean financial audit</p> <p>3: Project monitored with no findings or project not monitored but no evidence of a clean financial audit</p> <p>2: Findings with corrective actions implemented or in progress or implementation plan</p> <p>0: Findings with no corrective actions implemented or in progress or implementation plan</p>		
<p>3. Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing - Please provide AFHMP</p> <p>3: Yes</p> <p>0: No</p>		
<p>4. Consumer Participation - Does your organization have a consumer on its governing board?</p> <p>3: Yes</p> <p>0: No</p>		
<p>5. Sexual Orientation and Gender Identity - Provide your organization's policy</p> <p>3: Policy states organization will provide services regardless of sexual orientation and/or gender identity</p> <p>0: Policy limits organization to provide services to a sexual orientation and/or gender identity</p>		
<p>6. Organization attendance at Full CoC Meeting between 10/1/16 - 9/30/17</p> <p>Point calculation (90% or higher is full points, otherwise is a percentage of available points: (Number of meeting attendance / 12) * 14 points</p>		
<p>7. Project has policies/procedures to ensure data quality</p> <p>5: Yes</p> <p>3: Yes, but not written</p> <p>0: No</p>		
<p>8. For PSH Projects, percentage of clients who maintained or exited into permanent housing</p> <p>17: Greater than 80%</p> <p>11: 70% - 79.99%</p> <p>6: 60% - 69.99%</p> <p>0: Less than 60%</p>		
<p>For TH Projects, percentage of clients who exited into permanent housing</p> <p>17: Greater than 75%</p> <p>11: 70% - 74.99%</p> <p>6: 65% - 69.99%</p> <p>0: Less than 65%</p>		
<p>For RRH Projects, percent of HOUSEHOLDS that exited into permanent housing</p> <p>17: Greater than 75%</p> <p>12: 70% - 74.99%</p> <p>9: 65% - 69.99%</p> <p>0: Less than 65%</p>		

2018 McHenry County Continuum of Care to End Homelessness RENEWAL-REVISION Project Scoring Rubric

System Performance Measures Except Housing Stability, which is included above (Total possible points - 56 points)		
<p>1. High reduction in the number of homeless individuals and families system-wide. Is the proposed project capable of reducing the CoC's overall annual homeless number by 5%? This would be at least 35 INDIVIDUALS served annually. For projects that propose this, a score is awarded as a percentage of up to 10 points for each percent over 35. For example, a project that can reasonably serve 70 individuals annually would be allocated full points - OR - For renewal projects, number of clients served versus how many were proposed to be served.</p> <p>10: Project served more than the proposed number 8: Project served 90% - 100% of the proposed number 6: Project served 80% - 89.99% of the proposed number 4: Project served 70% - 79.99% of the proposed number 0: Project served 69.99% or less of the proposed number</p>		
<p>2. Long term client recidivism (up to 8 points)</p> <p>Point calculation: Capped at -8 8 points - 2 points per recidivated household</p>		
<p>3. Increased Income*</p> <p>For PSH Projects: % participants with increased total income 18: 90% - 100% 12: 70% - 89.99% 6: 60% -69.99% NO POINTS UNDER 60%</p>		
<p>For TH and RRH Projects: % adult leavers increased non-earned (total) income 9: Greater than 85% 5: 70% - 84.99% 2: 60% - 69.99% NO POINTS UNDER 60%</p>		
<p>For TH and RRH Projects: % adult leavers increased earned income 9: Greater than 77% 5: 62% -76.99% 2: 55% - 61.99% NO POINTS UNDER 55%</p>		
<p>4. Enrollment and Participation in Mainstream Benefits (i.e. SNAP, MEDICAID, MEDICARE, State's Children Health Insurance, WIC, VA Medical Services, TANF, Temporary Rental Assistance, Section 8, Public Housing, Rental Assistance): % of participants with benefits * 10 points possible</p> <p>Point calculation: Percentage of enrollment and participate of program clients * 10 points</p>		
<p>5. Percentage of beds in the project following a Housing First approach? (Housing First: people experiencing homelessness are provided with permanent housing directly and with few to no treatment preconditions, behavioral contingencies, or barriers) PROPOSED</p> <p>Point calculation: Percentage of beds in project following Housing First * 10 = awarded points</p>		
Severity of Needs (Total possible points - 26) RESPONSES ARE PROPOSED FOR NEXT GRANT TERM UNLESS OTHERWISE INDICATED		
<p>1. What is the percentage of veterans intended to be served?*</p> <p>Point calculation: Percentage of veterans * 3 = awarded points</p>		

2018 McHenry County Continuum of Care to End Homelessness RENEWAL-REVISION Project Scoring Rubric

2. What is the percentage of Chronically Homeless <u>Dedicated</u> Beds? For renewal projects, what percent of the clients served were Chronically Homeless? 8: 85% - 100% 4: 65% - 84.99% 0: Less than 65%		
3. What is the percentage of households with children under 18 intended to be served?*		
Point calculation: Percentage of "households" with children under 18 * 7 = awarded points		
4. What is the percentage of homeless youth (individual between ages 18-24) intended to be served?*		
Point calculation: Percentage of homeless youth * 2 = awarded points		
5. What is the percentage of DV survivors, including children of adult survivors? Percentage * 6 = awarded points.		
Presentation Score (Total possible points - 40)		
1. Rate of participants served with domestic violence experience*		
5: Greater than 50% 3: 25% - 34.99% 1: 15% - 24.99% 0: Less than 15%		
2. Rate of Adults with physical health, behavioral health or substance abuse at entry*		
5: 90% or higher 4: 80% - 89.99% 3: 65% - 79.99% 0: Less than 65%		
3. Bed Utilization Rates (this is stated by CD staff prior to presentation)		
5: 85% - 100% 0: Less than 85%		
4. Capacity of Organization to Carry Out Project (up to 25 points based on presentation)		
Bonus Points		
1. This is a project that better assists the CoC in reducing the number of overall homeless individuals and families experiencing domestic violence through a capacity that involved permanent housing Yes: 10 No: 0		
2. Financial Capacity sigma measure Point calculation: Project awarded 5 points for each sigma measure beyond financial capacity mean score. Maximum of 10 points.		
3. Substance Abuse focus: 10 points bonus for a project specifically focused on individuals with a primary diagnosis of substance abuse.		

* = N/A for projects without a full year of APR data

Total: 0.00 of 200 possible points
Ranking Percentage: 0%